IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO. 6063 OF 2006.

Shri Gurubachansingh Gurumukhsingh Dardi..Petitioner
V/s
Shri Jasbirsingh Gurumukhsingh Dari
And others ..Respondents.

Mr. Gurubachansingh G. Dardi party-in-person present.

CORAM : B.H. MARLAPALLE &
J.H. BHATIA, JJ.

DATE : 18TH DECEMBER, 2006.

P.C.

. The petitioner-party-in- person is aggrieved by the order dated 4/4/2000 passed by the learned Single Judge of this Court in Civil Revision Application No. 479/2000, the order dated 28/6/2002 passed by this Court in Misc.Civil Application No. 3/2000, the order dated 7/3/2001 passed by the learned Civil Judge, S.D. Pune in Special Civil Suit No. 1730/1998 and the Arbitration Award passed on 31/5/2006, by the Arbitrator, in addition, he challenges the order dated 10/10/2002 passed by the learned Second Jt. Civil Judge, S,D. in

Special Civil Suit No.77/1991 and the order dated 5.10.2000 passed by the learned Second Jt. Civil Judge, S.D. Pune, in Special Civil Sit No. 1510/1994. He also prays for quashing and setting aside the order dated 24/12/1986 passed in Reg.Civil Suit No. 2546/1986.

2. We have explained the party-in-person that the said challenge cannot be entertained in this petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India before the Division Bench and he has a remedy to challenge the Arbitrators award, may be by way of an appeal. He was also advised that the orders passed by this Court in Civil Revision Application or in the Misc. Civil Application cannot be challenged in a writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution. So also Mr. Jayant Gangakhedkar, Deputy Registrar from the Legal Cell also advised the petitioner-party in-person, that his remedy lies in appeal and not in a writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution the India.

2. The petition is therefore, rejected as not maintainable.

(J.H. BHATIA, J.) **(B.H. MARLAPALLE, J.)**